

Logic Specification and Z Schema

3K04

McMaster

Basic Logic Operators

- Logical negation (\neg)
- Logical conjunction (\wedge or $\&$)
- Logical disjunction (\vee or $||$)
- Logical implication (\rightarrow)
- Logical equality ($=$ or \leftrightarrow)

Logic Negation

- **NOT p** (also written as $\neg p$)

p	$\neg p$
1	0
0	1

Logic Conjunction

- **p AND q** (also written as **$p \wedge q$** , **$p \& q$** , or **$p \cdot q$**)

<i>p</i>	<i>q</i>	<i>$p \cdot q$</i>
1	1	1
1	0	0
0	1	0
0	0	0

Logic Disjunction

- **p OR q** (also written as **$p \vee q$** or **$p + q$**)

<i>p</i>	<i>q</i>	<i>$p + q$</i>
1	1	1
1	0	1
0	1	1
0	0	0

Logic Implication

- **p implies q** (also written as **$p \rightarrow q$** , not **p or q**)

<i>p</i>	<i>q</i>	<i>p</i> \rightarrow <i>q</i>
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Logic Equality

- $p \text{ EQ } q$ (also written as $p = q$, $p \leftrightarrow q$, or $p \equiv q$)

p	q	$p \equiv q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

First-order logic

- While propositional logic deals with simple declarative propositions, first-order logic additionally covers predicates and quantification.
- Each interpretation of first-order logic includes a domain of discourse over which the quantifiers range.

Predicate

- A predicate resembles a function that returns either True or False.
- Consider the following sentences: "Socrates is a philosopher", "Plato is a philosopher".
- In propositional logic these are treated as two unrelated propositions, denoted for example by p and q .
- In first-order logic, however, the sentences can be expressed in a more parallel manner using the predicate $\text{Phil}(a)$, which asserts that the object represented by a is a philosopher.

Quantifier

- \forall - universal quantifier; \exists - existential quantifier
- Let $\text{Phil}(a)$ assert a is a philosopher and let $\text{Schol}(a)$ assert that a is a scholar
- For every a , if a is a philosopher then a is a scholar. $\forall a(\text{Phil}(a) \rightarrow \text{Schol}(a))$
- If a is a philosopher then a is a scholar.
 $\exists a(\text{Phil}(a) \wedge \neg \text{Schol}(a)).$

Z notation

- The **Z notation**, is a formal specification language used for describing and modeling computing systems.
- It is targeted at the clear specification of computer programs and the formulation of proofs about the intended program behavior.

Z Schemas

- The Z schema is a graphical notation for describing
 - State spaces
 - operations

<i>SchemaName</i>	_____
<i>Declarations</i>	
<i>Predicate₁; ...; Predicate_n</i>	

or of the form

<i>SchemaName</i>	_____
<i>Declarations</i>	

- The declarations part of the schema will contains:
 - A list of variable declarations
 - References to other schemas (schema inclusion)
- The predicate part of a schema contains a list of predicates, separated either by semi-colons or new lines.

State Space Schemas

- Here is an example state-space schema, representing part of a system that records details about the phone numbers of staff.

<i>PhoneBook</i>	_____
<i>known</i> :	$\mathbb{P} \text{ NAME}$
<i>tel</i> :	$\text{NAME} \rightarrow \text{PHONE}$

dom <i>tel</i> =	<i>known</i>

Assume that NAME is a set of names, and PHONE is a set of phone numbers.

Operation Schemas

- In specifying a system operation, we must consider:
 - The objects that are accessed by the operation;
 - The pre-conditions of the operation, i.e., the things that must be true for the operation to succeed;
 - The post-conditions, i.e., the things that will be true after the operation, if the pre-condition was satisfied before the operation.

- Consider the ‘lookup’ operation: input a name, output a phone number.
 - This operation accesses the PhoneBook schema;
 - It does not change it;
 - It takes a single ‘input’, and produces a single output;
 - Pre-condition: the name is known to the database.

<i>Find</i>	_____
$\exists \text{PhoneBook}$	
$\text{name?} : \text{NAME}$	
$\text{phone!} : \text{PHONE}$	
$\text{name?} \in \text{known}$	
$\text{phone!} = \text{tel}(\text{name?})$	

- This illustrates the following Z conventions:
 - Placing the name of the schema in the declaration part ‘includes’ that schema;
 - ‘input’ variable names are terminated by a question mark;
 - ‘output’ variables are terminated by an exclamation mark;
 - The Ξ (Xi) symbol means that the PhoneBook schema is not changed; if we write a Δ (delta) instead, it would mean that the PhoneBook schema did change.

- Add a name/phone pair to the phone book.

<i>AddName</i>	_____
$\Delta PhoneBook$	
$name? : NAME$	
$phone? : PHONE$	
$name? \notin known$	
$tel' = tel \cup \{name? \mapsto phone?\}$	

- Appending a ' to a variable means “the variable after the operation is performed”.

Example: Video Rental Shop

[*VIDEO*]

Video_shop

all_videos, in_stock, booked_out : P VIDEO

in_stock \cup *booked_out* = *all_videos*

Returning a video

Video_returned _____

$\Delta Video_shop$

video? : *VIDEO*

video? \in *booked_out*

booked_out' = *booked_out* - {*video?*}

in_stock' = *in_stock* \cup {*video?*}

all_videos' = *all_videos*

Removal of a video from the stock

RemoveVideo _____

$\Delta Video_shop$

video? : *VIDEO*

$video? \in all_videos$

$all_videos' = all_videos - \{video?\}$

$in_stock' = in_stock - \{video?\}$

$booked_out' = booked_out - \{video?\}$

Find a video

$MESSAGE ::= is_in_stock \mid is_booked_out$

$FindVideo$

$\exists Video_shop$

$video? : VIDEO$

$message! : MESSAGE$

$video? \in all_videos$

$video? \in in_stock \Rightarrow message! = is_in_stock$

$video? \notin in_stock \Rightarrow message! = is_booked_out$

List all videos

ListVideos _____

Ξ *Video_shop*

list! : *P VIDEO*

list! = *all_videos*

Example: Sale Theater tickets

$[Seat]$
 $[Person]$

$TicketsForPerformance0$

$seating : \mathbb{P} Seat$

$sold : Seat \rightarrow Person$

$\mathbf{dom} sold \subseteq seating$

- Informal specification
 - Theater: Tickets for the first night are only sold to friends
- Specification in Z

$Status ::= standard \mid firstNight$

Friends

$friends : \mathbb{P} Person$

$status : Status$

$sold : Seat \rightarrow Person$

$status = firstNight \Rightarrow \mathbf{ran} sold \subseteq friends$

$TicketsForPerformance1 \cong TicketsForPerformance0 \wedge Friends$

and

$TicketsForPerformance1$	_____
$Friends$	
$TicketsForPerformance0$	

$TicketsForPerformance1 \cong TicketsForPerformance0 \wedge Friends$

and

$TicketsForPerformance1$
$Friends$
$TicketsForPerformance0$

are the same as

$TicketsForPerformance1$
$friends : \mathbb{P} Person; status : Status$ $sold : Seat \rightarrow Person; seating : \mathbb{P} Seat$
$status = firstNight \Rightarrow \mathbf{ran} sold \subseteq friends$ $\mathbf{dom} sold \subseteq seating$

Selling tickets

Purchase0

TicketsForPerformance0

TicketsForPerformance0'

s? : Seat

p? : Person

$s? \in \text{seating} \setminus \mathbf{dom} \text{ sold}$

$\text{sold}' = \text{sold} \cup \{s? \mapsto p?\}$

$\text{seating}' = \text{seating}$

$Response ::= okay \mid sorry$

$Success$	
$r! : Response$	
$r! = okay$	

Then

$Purchase0 \wedge Success$

is a schema that reports successful ticket sale.

Selling tickets, but only to friends if first night performance

Purchase1

$\Delta TicketsForPerformance1$

$s? : Seat$

$p? : Person$

$s? \in seating \setminus \mathbf{dom} sold$

$status = firstNight \Rightarrow (p? \in friends)$

$sold' = sold \cup \{s? \mapsto p?\}$

$seating' = seating$

$status' = status$

$friends' = friends$

NotAvailable

$\exists \text{TicketsForPerformance1}$

$s? : \text{Seat}$

$p? : \text{Person}$

$s? \in \mathbf{dom} \text{ sold} \vee (\text{status} = \text{firstNight} \wedge \neg p? \in \text{friends})$

Failure

$r! : \text{Response}$

$r! = \text{sorry}$

$\text{TicketServiceForPerformance} \hat{=}$
 $(\text{Purchase1} \wedge \text{Success}) \vee$
 $(\text{NotAvailable} \wedge \text{Failure})$

Composition of Operation Schemas

$Purchase1 \circ Purchase1[s?/s2?]$

is equivalent to

$\Delta TicketsForPerformance1$

$s? : Seat; s2? : Seat; p? : Person$

$s? \in seating \setminus \mathbf{dom} sold$

$s2? \in seating \setminus \mathbf{dom}(sold \cup \{s? \mapsto p?\})$

$status = firstNight \Rightarrow (p? \in friends)$

$sold' = sold \cup \{s? \mapsto p?, s2? \mapsto p?\}$

$seating' = seating$

$status' = status$

$friends' = friends$

Example: Order Invoicing

$[OrderId, Product]$

$OrderState ::= pending \mid invoiced$

$Stock$
$stock : \text{bag } Product$

$Order == \{ order : \text{bag } Product \mid order \neq \emptyset \}$

Order Invoices

OrderInvoices

orders : OrderId \rightarrow Order

orderStatus : OrderId \rightarrow OrderState

dom orders = dom orderStatus

State

<i>State</i>
<i>Stock</i>
<i>OrderInvoices</i>
<i>newids</i> : \mathbb{P} <i>OrderId</i>
$\text{dom orders} \cap \text{newids} = \emptyset$

<i>InitState</i>
<i>State'</i>
$\text{stock}' = \emptyset$
$\text{orders}' = \emptyset$
$\text{newids}' = \text{OrderId}$

$\Delta State$
$State$
$State'$
$newids' = newids \setminus \text{dom } orders'$

$InvoiceOrder$
$\Delta State$
$id? : OrderId$
$orders(id?) \sqsubseteq stock$ $orderStatus(id?) = pending$ $stock' = stock \cup orders(id?)$ $orders' = orders$ $orderStatus' = orderStatus \oplus \{id? \mapsto invoiced\}$

$Report ::= OK \mid order_not_pending \mid not_enough_stock \mid no_more_ids$

$Success$
$rep! : Report$
$rep! = OK$

InvoiceError

\exists *State*

id? : *OrderId*

rep! : *Report*

orderStatus(id?) \neq *pending*

rep! = *order_not_pending*

StockError

\exists *State*

id? : *OrderId*

rep! : *Report*

\neg *orders(id?)* \sqsubseteq *stock*

rep! = *not_enough_stock*

A total operation for ordering

*InvoiceOrderOp ==
(InvoiceOrder \wedge Success) \vee InvoiceError \vee StockError*